

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1, 6-23 and 25-27 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §101 for double patenting as being the same as certain claims of the parent U.S. Patent No. 7,000,909.

Claims 2-5, 24 and 28 were rejected for obviousness-type double patenting in view of other claims of U.S. Patent No. 7,000,909.

Claims 1 and 15-23 are being canceled. Claim 2 is being placed in independent form, and amendments are being made so that claims 3-14 now depend directly or indirectly from claim 2. A Terminal Disclaimer is enclosed, which responds to the obviousness-type double patenting rejection of claims 2 and 24. Thus, claim 2, its dependent claims 3-14, claim 24, and its dependent claims 25-28, are now in condition for allowance.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Finder
Registration No.: 30,173
OSTROLENK, FABER, GERB & SOFFEN, LLP
1180 Avenue of the Americas
New York, New York 10036-8403
Telephone: (212) 382-0700

THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS BEING
SUBMITTED ELECTRONICALLY
THROUGH THE PATENT AND
TRADEMARK OFFICE EFS FILING
SYSTEM ON March 13, 2008.

JAF:lf